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SUMMARY

Compartmentalized signaling in dendritic subdomains is critical for the function of many central neurons. In the retina, individual dendritic sectors of a starburst amacrine cell (SAC) are preferentially activated by different directions of linear motion, indicating limited signal propagation between the sectors. However, the mechanism that regulates this propagation is poorly understood. Here, we find that metabotropic glutamate receptor 2 (mGluR2) signaling, which acts on voltage-gated calcium channels in SACs, selectively restricts cross-sector signal propagation in SACs, but does not affect local dendritic computation within individual sectors. mGluR2 signaling ensures sufficient electrotonic isolation of dendritic sectors to prevent their depolarization during non-preferred motion, yet enables controlled multicompartmental signal integration that enhances responses to preferred motion. Furthermore, mGluR2-mediated dendritic compartmentalization in SACs is important for the functional output of direction-selective ganglion cells (DSGCs). Therefore, our results directly link modulation of dendritic compartmentalization to circuit-level encoding of motion direction in the retina.

INTRODUCTION

Neuronal signaling relies on efficient propagation of synaptic potentials along dendrites, yet spatially restricted propagation between dendritic subdomains often underlies important dendritic computations (Branco and Häusser, 2010). A remarkable example is the dendrites of SACs, which play a central role in generating direction selectivity of DSGCs in the mammalian retina. As an axonless interneuron, the SAC receives glutamatergic inputs from bipolar cells in the proximal dendrites (Vlasits et al., 2016) and releases neurotransmitters GABA and acetylcholine from its distal varicosities (Brecha et al., 1988; Famiglietti, 1991; Kosaka et al., 1988; O’Malley and Masland, 1989; Vaney and Young, 1988) to DSGCs (Figure 1A). The radial branches of a SAC are considered independent computational units that individually prefer centrifugal motion, from the soma to their distal tips (Euler et al., 2002; Hausselt et al., 2007; Miller and Bloomfield, 1983; Velte and Miller, 1997) (Figure 1B). This centrifugal preference has been attributed to multiple cell intrinsic and synaptic mechanisms, including the distribution of active conductances (Euler et al., 2002; Hausselt et al., 2007; Oesch and Taylor, 2010) and chloride transporters (Gavrikov et al., 2003) along the SAC dendrites, proximal targeting of glutamatergic inputs (Vlasits et al., 2016), segregation of excitatory inputs from distinct bipolar cells (Fransen and Borghuis, 2017; Kim et al., 2014; but see Stincic et al., 2016), and lateral inhibition from neighboring SACs and non-SAC amacrine cells (Chen et al., 2016; Ding et al., 2016; Lee and Zhou, 2006).

As distinct computational units, the individual SAC dendritic sectors not only exhibit independent directional tuning to motion stimuli, but also connect to different postsynaptic targets. Each quadrant of the SAC dendritic tree selectively forms inhibitory synapses with one of the four subtypes of On-Off DSGCs whose preferred direction corresponds to the centripetal direction of the SAC quadrant (Briggman et al., 2011; Fried et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2010; Wei et al., 2011) (Figure 1B). Selective wiring between SACs and DSGCs, together with the centrifugal directional preference of SAC dendrites, generates directionally tuned inhibition from SACs to DSGCs during linear motion that is important for the direction selectivity of DSGC spiking output.

Centrifugal direction selectivity of SAC dendritic sectors implies considerable electrotonic isolation between the sectors. Electrical isolation of SAC dendrites has been demonstrated with stationary light flashes: light spatially restricted to one circular sector of a SAC causes local activation without activating other unstimulated sectors (Euler et al., 2002). During linear motion, this is particularly important for reducing the centripetal-direction response of SAC dendrites, since it prevents propagation of depolarization from a centrifugally stimulated SAC sector to other, weakly stimulated sectors of the same cell.

How is the strong centrifugal response of SAC dendrites generated during full-field motion? One possibility is that it arises...
Favored dendritic integration in the direction of motion

Electrotonic isolation prevents back-propagation
solely from local dendritic processing within a SAC sector. Alternatively, despite considerable electrotonic isolation between SAC sectors, signal integration from multiple dendritic sectors may enhance the response of a centrifugally stimulated branch due to favorable spatiotemporal summation (Tukker et al., 2004). These possibilities have not been examined experimentally. In the first part of this study, by comparing dendritic responses to motion stimuli crossing the full SAC receptive field with those to motion stimuli spatially restricted to different subfields, we find that multicompartmental signal integration is necessary for the strong and fast centrifugal response during full-field linear motion.

The fine balance between signal isolation and propagation between SAC dendritic sectors shapes the rules of dendritic computation in SACs. However, the regulatory mechanism that sets this balance is completely unknown. A strong molecular candidate is metabotropic glutamate receptor 2 (mGluR2), which is primarily expressed in SACs postsynaptically to bipolar cell synapses, but not in bipolar or ganglion cells (Cai and Pourcho, 1999; Koulou et al., 1996; Seebahn et al., 2008). mGluR2 activation is known to promote neuronal excitability by modulating voltage-gated conductances (Bischofberger and Schind, 1996; Hamlet and Lu, 2016; Ikeda et al., 1995; Knoff and Kemp, 1998; Kuperfer und Lovenig, 2015; Saugstad et al., 1996; Taniguchi et al., 2013) and to influence spiking responses of DSGCs (Jensen, 2006). Nevertheless, the role of mGluR2 in SAC dendritic computation is unknown. In the second part of this study, we find that cross-sector signal propagation, but not local processing within a sector, is altered in the absence of endogenous mGluR2 signaling. This perturbation in SAC dendritic computation impacts the functional output of the circuit, the firing pattern of the direction-selective ganglion cells, illustrating the importance of targeted modulation of spatiotemporal dendritic computation for encoding sensory information.

RESULTS

Cross-compartmental Signal Integration Improves the Amplitude and Latency of the Centrifugal Response of SAC Dendrites during Full-Field Linear Motion

To investigate whether the centrifugal response of SAC dendrites during full-field linear motion is generated locally and independently by each dendritic sector, or involves participation from multiple dendritic compartments, we measured the motion-evoked calcium responses of On SAC distal dendrites (Figures 1C and S1) when a moving bar stimulus was delivered to the entire SAC dendritic field, and when it was restricted to different subregions of the field. Stimulation outside the SAC dendritic field did not evoke calcium responses, indicating good spatial control of bipolar cell activation (Figure 1D, outside dendritic field, Figure S2). During full-field stimulation, a large centrifugal response was detected in distal varicosities (Figures 1D and 1E, full field, Movie S1), consistent with previous studies (Chen et al., 2016; Ding et al., 2016; Euler et al., 2002; Hausselt et al., 2016; Taniguchi et al., 2013). These findings indicate that a centrifugal signal propagates from the leading edge of the moving bar to the dendritic tip.

Figure 1. Multiple Dendritic Compartments Contribute to Centrifugal Direction Selectivity of SAC Varicosities during Linear Motion

(A) Schematic shows side view of the major cell types and connections involved in the On pathway of the direction-selective circuit: the On SAC receives light-driven glutamatergic inputs from On bipolar cells and sends outputs to On-Off DSGCs. Inhibitory synapses onto a DSGC primarily come from SAC dendritic branches oriented in the null direction of the DSGC. Arrows indicate the preferred directions of the DSGCs. Bipolar inputs onto the DSGC are not shown for clarity.

(B) Schematic of the top view shows the asymmetric inhibition between SACs and DSGCs. Each SAC dendritic quadrant preferentially inhibits a subtype of On-Off DSGC whose preferred direction (arrow in circle) is antiparallel to the orientation of the SAC quadrant. Empty arrows on SAC dendrites indicate the preferred (i.e., centrifugal) directions of the SAC dendritic quadrants.

(C) Example images of GCaMP6 fluorescence in distal SAC varicosities of an On SAC from a Chat-ires-Cre mouse infected with AAV-floxed GCaMP6m at baseline and during the full-field moving bar stimulus in the centrifugal (top) and centripetal (bottom) directions (arrows). Also see Figure S1.

(D) Top: schematics show the SAC dendritic areas within which the moving bar was presented (yellow filled circles) and the location of the calcium imaging window (red rectangles). Bottom: GCaMP6m fluorescence traces during centrifugal (CF) and centripetal (CP) motion under conditions shown at top. CF and CP are relative to the dndrites containing the imaging window. Dark traces represent mean values and light traces represent individual trials. See also Figure S2.

(E) Summary graph of peak fluorescence in SAC varicosities in different subregions evoked by motion in the CF and CP directions under the visual stimulus conditions shown in (D). For each varicosity, responses are normalized to that during full-field motion in the CF direction. For subregion 5, peak amplitude was calculated only from trials that showed detectable responses. CF: full field: 1; subregion 1: 0.71 ± 0.07; subregion 2: 0.72 ± 0.06; subregion 3: 0.87 ± 0.08; subregion 4: 0.96 ± 0.11; subregion 5: 0.17 ± 0.06. CP: full field: 0.27 ± 0.04; subregion 1: 1.07 ± 0.02; subregion 2: 0.10 ± 0.02; subregion 3: 0.28 ± 0.08; subregion 4: 0.43 ± 0.11; subregion 5: 0.10 ± 0.07. n = 6 cells (53 total varicosities) from 6 mice. One-way ANOVA for CF direction: F(5,25) = 54.4, p < 0.0001. Tukey’s post hoc tests: Full field versus Subregion 1: p = 0.0015; Full field versus Subregion 2: p = 0.0037; Subregion 1 versus 4: p = 0.019; Subregion 2 versus 4: p = 0.035; Subregion 5 versus all stimuli: p < 0.0001. All other comparisons p > 0.05. One-way ANOVA for CP direction: F(2,25) = 4.9, p = 0.0029. Tukey’s post hoc tests: Subregion 1 versus 4: p = 0.0048; Subregion 2 versus 4: p = 0.026; Subregion 4 versus 5: p = 0.011. All other comparisons p > 0.05. Data are shown as mean ± SEM.

(F) The onset timing of the calcium transients under different stimulus conditions. The dendritic field of the SAC is shown as black lines with the circles in the middle representing somas. The spatial extent and the direction of the moving bar stimuli is shown in yellow. Red rectangles indicate the location of the calcium imaging window. Left: example calcium responses from one SAC. Vertical black lines on SAC dendrites indicate the spatial position of the moving bar’s leading edge when the onset of calcium responses (blue traces) was detected in the varicosity in the imaging window. The calcium traces are aligned in the time axis relative to the onset of the moving bar reaching the imaging window (red vertical line). Right: summary of the onset timing of the calcium responses from multiple cells. Calculated distance from the bar’s leading edge to the tip of the imaged dendrite (mean ± SEM): full field: 77 ± 6 μm, n = 9 cells; subregion 1: 18 ± 3 μm, n = 8 cells; subregion 2: 25 ± 8 μm, n = 4 cells. One-way ANOVA F(2,18) = 38.8, p < 0.0001. Tukey’s post hoc: Full field versus Subregion 1: p = 0.0001; Full field versus Subregion 2: p = 0.0001; Subregion 1 versus Subregion 2: p = 0.072.

(G) A model of SAC dendritic processing during full-field linear motion. Sequential activation of SAC dendritic tree from right to left leads to strong centrifugal response in location A due to efficient spatiotemporal summation of depolarization along the motion trajectory. However, the strong centrifugal response in A does not propagate efficiently back to B due to electronic isolation between SAC dendritic branches.
During subregion stimulation, we restricted the moving bar stimulus to various subregions of the SAC dendritic field while maintaining a fixed imaging site (Figure 1D). Stimulation restricted to the imaged dendritic sector produced larger responses in the centrifugal direction compared to those in the centripetal direction, indicating that direction selectivity can be computed locally within a dendritic sector (Haußel et al., 2007) (Figures 1D and 1E, subregions 1 and 2, Movie S3). However, the extent of centrifugally evoked calcium transients during this local stimulation was significantly lower than that during the full-field stimulation (ANOVA p < 0.0001; Full field versus subregion 2; Tukey’s post hoc test p = 0.0037). In addition, the onset of the centrifugal response in distal varicosities did not occur until the moving bar reached the distal tips of the dendrites during local stimulation (Figure 1F, subregions 1 and 2), while it occurred when the bar reached the proximal dendrites during full-field stimulation (Figure 1F, full field; ANOVA p < 0.0001; Full field versus subregion 2: p = 0.0001). This enhanced amplitude and faster onset of calcium responses suggest that multicompartmental signal integration plays a role during full-field motion in the centrifugal direction (Figure 1G).

When the moving bar stimulus was restricted to the perisomatic region covering either the proximal 60% or all dendritic sectors (Figure 1D, subregion 3, Movie S5) or mainly the dendritic sector on the opposite side of the imaging window (Figure 1D, subregion 4, Movie S6), strong centrifugal responses were readily detected, with an amplitude similar to that during full-field stimulation (Figures 1D and 1E, centrifugal responses of full-field versus subregions 3 and 4). Notably, the responses of varicosities in the imaging window were always stronger during motion in their centrifugal direction, regardless of the spatial extent and position of the subregion motion stimulus (Figures 1D and 1E). Therefore, both trans-somatic signal propagation and local preference of post-somatic dendrites are tuned in the direction of full-field linear motion. This likely presents a physiological advantage for the circuit, because efficient summation of the propagating signal with local excitation improves the amplitude and timing of centrifugal responses (Tukker et al., 2004) (Figure 1G), a computation reminiscent of coincident activation of distal dendrites and perisomatic compartments elsewhere in the nervous system (Bittner et al., 2015; Jarsky et al., 2005; Larkum et al., 2001).

**Electrotonic Isolation Maintains the Weak Centripetal Response of SAC Dendrites**

In contrast to the strong responses during motion in the centrifugal direction of the imaged dendrites, the response in the centripetal direction is weak under all stimulus conditions (Figures 1D and 1E), consistent with less effective summation of motion-evoked signals in this direction (Tukker et al., 2004). When the motion stimulus was positioned beyond the soma and covered only the distal 60% of the dendrites on the opposite side of a SAC (Figure 1D, subregion 5), calcium responses were evoked with significantly lower fidelity and amplitude in both centrifugal and centripetal directions (Figures 1D and 1E, subregion 5). A small calcium transient was observed in 55% of the trials when this opposite dendritic sector was stimulated in the centrifugal direction of the imaged dendrites and in 17% of the trials when it was stimulated in the centripetal direction. In contrast, the same stimulus reliably evoked stronger and centrifugally tuned responses in the distal varicosities of the stimulated dendritic sector (Figure 1D, subregion 1). Therefore, the locally generated centrifugal-direction response within a dendritic sector does not propagate efficiently to the opposite, unstimulated sector due to electrotonic isolation between the sectors. This ensures that during full-field motion, the strong centrifugal response of one dendritic sector does not contaminate the weak centripetal response of the opposite sector (Figure 1G).

**mGluR2 Blockade Enhances the Centripetal Response of SAC Dendrites during Full-Field Motion**

The importance of electrotonic isolation in minimizing the SAC’s centripetal-direction responses prompted us to examine the mechanisms underlying this isolation. Compartmentalized signaling in dendrites has been attributed to interactions between synaptic inputs, active and passive dendritic conductances, and dendritic morphology (Harnett et al., 2013; Hoffman et al., 1997; Makara et al., 2009; Otsu et al., 2014). However, it is unclear whether the electrotonic properties of SAC dendrites can be acutely modulated in adult animals. Therefore, we searched for regulatory mechanisms of SAC dendritic excitability and identified the SAC-specific mGluR2 signaling (Cai and Pourcho, 1999; Koulen et al., 1996; Seebahn et al., 2008) as a candidate. First, we tested whether mGluR2 signaling affects the response of SAC varicosities to full-field linear motion. The mGluR2 antagonist LY341495 consistently increased the amplitude of the full-field centrofugal-direction response (Figures 2A and 2B and Movie S2). In contrast, it did not affect the centripetal-direction response (Figures 2A and 2B), suggesting that the strong centrifugal-direction response is saturated (Lipin et al., 2015) and cannot be further enhanced by mGluR2 blockade. As a result, the direction selectivity of SAC dendrites during full-field motion is reduced in mGluR2 blockade (Figures 2C and S3).

**mGluR2 Blockade Selectively Increases Signal Propagation between SAC Dendritic Sectors**

The aberrant centripetal-direction response in mGluR2 blockade may be a result of either a general increase in SAC excitability that impacts local processing of individual dendritic sectors, or a selective reduction in electrotonic isolation between the sectors preferring different directions. In order to distinguish between these possibilities, we asked whether mGluR2 signaling affects centrifugal direction selectivity within an individual dendritic sector. When the moving bar stimulus was restricted to the local dendritic sector containing the imaged varicosities (Figure 2D), mGluR2 antagonist LY341495 did not alter the highly directional calcium response of these varicosities (Figures 2D–2F, Movies S3 and S4), indicating that mGluR2 is not required for the intrinsic centrifugal preference generated locally within a SAC dendritic sector. However, when the stimulus was restricted to the sector opposite the imaging window (Figure 2G), mGluR2 blockade improved the fidelity (Figures 2G and 2H,
Figure 2. mGluR2 Signaling Promotes Electrotonic Isolation of SAC Dendritic Branches

(A–C) mGluR2 blockade selectively increases centripetal-direction response during full-field linear motion. See also Figure S3.

(A) Left: same as full-field stimulus in Figure 1D, schematic shows the spatial extent of the motion stimulus and the location of the calcium imaging window. Arrows indicate motion directions. Right: GCaMP6M fluorescence traces under this stimulus condition before (black) and after adding LY341495 (red). Dark traces represent mean values and light traces represent individual trials for (A), (D), (G), and (J).

(B) Summary of peak fluorescence of individual SACs before and after adding LY341495 under the condition shown in (A). Centrifugal: baseline, 12.1 ± 1.0; LY341495, 11.8 ± 1.2; p = 0.80. Centripetal: baseline, 3.6 ± 0.5; LY341495, 10.1 ± 1.4; **p = 0.0018. n = 8 cells (97 varicosities) from 7 mice. Data are shown as mean ± SEM.

(C) Same as (B), summary of direction selectivity index (DSI) values. Baseline, 0.54 ± 0.04; LY341495, 0.09 ± 0.08; **p = 0.0016.

(D–F) mGluR2 blockade has no effect on the SAC calcium response when the motion stimulus is restricted to the local dendritic branches containing the imaging window.

(legend continued on next page)
Baseline versus LY341495: p = 0.01, two-way ANOVA) and amplitude (Figures 2G and 2I, p = 0.0005, two-way ANOVA, Movies S7 and S8) of the trans-somatic calcium response. Therefore, endogenous mGluR2 signaling is important in preventing aberrant propagation of depolarization between SAC dendritic sectors without affecting local computation within the dendritic sector.

Reduced electronic isolation between SAC sectors during mGluR2 blockade is also evident when the motion stimulus is restricted to the perisomatic region covering the proximal dendrites. Under this condition, LY341495 abolished the direction selectivity of varicosity calcium responses by selectively increasing the response to stimulation in the centripetal direction for the imaged dendritic sector (Figures 2J–2L). Since the centrifugal response was unaffected by LY341495 (Figures 2J and 2K), this illustrates that mGluR2 acts to prevent contamination of weakly activated SAC sectors by the responses of strongly activated sectors. Therefore, during linear motion, mGluR2 ensures that signals summate efficiently along SACs in the direction of stimulus motion without back-propagating to centripetally stimulated varicosities (Figure 1G).

**mGluR2 Signaling Inhibits Voltage-Gated Calcium Channels in SACs**

To understand the molecular mechanism underlying the effect of mGluR2 on electrotonic isolation, we searched for the ion channel targets of mGluR2 signaling in SACs while blocking synaptic transmission in the retina. We found that mGluR2 does not modulate resting membrane properties in SACs (Figures S4A–S4D). Previously, the Kv3 family of voltage-gated potassium channels had been suggested to underlie electrotonic isolation in SACs (Ozaita et al., 2004). However, we found that the cesium-sensitive voltage-gated outward conductance mediated by these channels is not affected by the mGluR2 agonist LY354740 (Figures 3A and 3B).

We next investigated whether mGluR2 modulates voltage-gated calcium currents in SACs by performing voltage-clamp recording using an established patch clamp setup (see STAR Methods). During prolonged membrane depolarization to 0 mV, a regenerative transient is triggered due to imperfect space clamp of the thin distal dendrites (Figure 3C). However, the latency and amplitude of the fast initial peak of this transient are highly consistent across trials (Figure 3C, boxed region) and reliably activated during depolarization to over −30 mV (Figures 3D and 3F). This transient is blocked by the nonsel ective calcium channel blocker cadmium (Figure S4E), or a combination of N- and P/Q-type calcium channel antagonists ω-conotoxin GVIA (CTX, 1 μM) and ω-agatoxin IVA (AgTX, 250 nM, Figures 3C and 3D), consistent with previous reports on the presence of N- and P/Q type calcium channels in rabbit SACs (Cohen, 2001; Lee et al., 2010). Application of LY354740 reversibly inhibits this calcium transient (Figures 3E, 3F, and S4F). Furthermore, in the presence of CTX and AgTX or cadmium, application of LY354740 has no effect on the residual currents in SACs (Figures S4E and S4G). These results indicate that mGluR2 inhibits voltage-gated calcium channels but appears to operate independently of voltage-gated potassium channels.

To determine whether mGluR2 signaling selectively inhibits P/Q-type or N-type calcium channels, we applied AgTX or CTX individually to the bath solution in the presence of synaptic blockers. Application of AgTX significantly reduced the amplitude of the initial calcium transient (Figures 3G and 3H) and also decreased the frequency of regenerative events during prolonged depolarization (Figure S4H, compared to Figure 3C), indicating a significant contribution to the calcium transients by P/Q-type calcium channels. Applying LY354740 in the presence of AgTX eliminated the residual AgTX-insensitive calcium transient, indicating that mGluR2 signaling inhibits N-type calcium channels (Figures 3G, 3H, and S4H). However, application of CTX alone did not change the amplitude of the initial transient, suggesting that blocking N-type calcium channels alone is not sufficient to modulate the suprathreshold regenerative calcium transient (Figures 3G and 3H). Addition of LY354740 in the presence of CTX decreased the CTX-insensitive inward current (Figures 3G and 3H), indicating that mGluR2 also inhibits P/Q-type calcium channels.

We note that mGluR2 blockade does not directly activate synaptic calcium channels in SAC varicosities during the moving bar stimuli used in this study, because the calcium responses during...
local stimulation of a dendritic sector were not enhanced by mGluR2 antagonist in either the centrifugal or the centripetal directions (Figures 2D–2F). If the mGluR2 antagonist affected synaptic calcium channels by directly activating them, the centripetal response during local stimulation would increase and the direction selectivity of a process would be impaired. We did not observe this, indicating that the mGluR2 does not directly modulate synaptic calcium channels. Instead, mGluR2 plays a
highly specific role in dendritic processing of SACs by regulating trans-somatic propagation of motion-evoked activation between dendritic compartments.

**mGluR2 Blockade Leads to Enhanced but Delayed Inhibition onto DSGCs in the Preferred Direction**

To test how mGluR2 blockade impacts the light response of On-Off DSGCs, we performed whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings of DSGC inhibition, which primarily comes from SAC dendrites extending in the null direction of the DSGC (Briggman et al., 2011; Fried et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2010; Wei et al., 2011) (Figure 4A). During full-field motion in the preferred direction of a DSGC, inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) are weak because the presynaptic SAC dendritic sector that releases GABA onto that DSGC is minimally activated by motion in the centripetal direction (Figure 4A, left schematic). Since mGluR2 blockade enhances centripetal responses in SAC varicosities due to back-propagation (Figures 2A–2C), we expect that motion in the preferred direction will produce greater GABA release onto DSGCs, albeit with an increased latency (Figure 4A, right schematic). As expected, when LY341495 was applied, the preferred-direction On IPSCs exhibited an enhanced but delayed component (Figures 4B and 4C). The latency of this enhanced component (289 ± 39 ms, for bar speed of 440 μm/s, Figures 4H and SSA) roughly corresponds to the time necessary for the moving bar to travel one SAC dendritic radius (127 ± 17 μm). Also as expected, the null-direction IPSCs were not significantly affected by LY341495 (Figures 4B and SSA), consistent with saturated centrifugal-direction calcium responses in SACs (Figure 2) and saturated null-direction inhibition in DSGCs (Lipin et al., 2015). Consequently, mGluR2 blockade decreases the direction selectivity of DSGC inhibition (Figure 4D). Similar results were obtained when the contrast of the moving bar was reversed (Figures 5B–5D). This effect is produced by LY341495 acting specifically on mGluR2 in SACs, because the drug has no effect on the strength and timing of IPSCs in mGluR2 knockout mice (Figures S6A–S6G), nor on IPSCs of alpha retinal ganglion cells in wild-type mice, a cell type that is not synaptically connected to SACs (Figures S6J and S6K).

Since the timing of sequential activation of opposite SAC sectors during full-field stimulation depends on the speed of a moving stimulus, we tested the effect of mGluR2 blockade at a higher bar speed. We found enhanced preferred-direction inhibitory inputs onto DSGCs and reduced direction selectivity of inhibition similar to those seen during the lower bar speed (Figures 4E–4G). However, the latency of the preferred-direction IPSC peak at this speed was reduced, since the faster moving bar activates opposite sectors more quickly (Figures 4E and 4H; 147 ± 20 ms, for bar speed of 1,100 μm/s, equivalent to a spatial offset of ~1.3 SAC radius (162 ± 22 μm). Therefore, mGluR2 blockade in SACs selectively increases preferred-direction inhibition onto DSGCs by enabling propagation of dendritic signals between SAC dendritic sectors that are tuned to different motion directions.

**mGluR2 Blockade Reduces DSGC Spiking in the Preferred Direction at Higher Motion Speed**

To test whether mGluR2 blockade is functionally relevant to the ultimate output of the retinal direction-selective circuit, we examined the spiking activity of DSGCs during perfusion of LY341495. We hypothesized that the enhanced but delayed preferred-direction inhibition during mGluR2 blockade decreases DSGC firing rate. Since SACs release both acetylcholine and GABA to DSGCs, we first examined the effect of mGluR2 antagonist on DSGC EPSCs. In contrast to the increased IPSCs, we found no significant change in the peak EPSC or overall excitatory charge transfer during moving stimuli in the presence of LY341495 (Figures 5B and 5C), presumably because centripetally activated SAC dendrites only contribute to a small fraction of the total excitatory inputs onto DSGCs (Figure 5A, also see Discussion). In order for delayed IPSCs to impact DSGC spiking, the two must temporally coincide. Therefore, we compared temporal relationship among the evoked excitatory and inhibitory charge transfer and firing rate of DSGCs. In baseline conditions, inhibitory charge transfer in response to a bar moving at 440 μm/s was delayed by 100–200 ms relative to the excitatory charge transfer (Figures 6A and 6B) consistent with previous studies (Pei et al., 2015; Taylor and Vaney, 2002). The statistically significant effect of LY341495 on IPSCs evoked at this speed began after 70% of the excitatory charge transfer and 77% of DSGC spiking had already occurred (Figure 6B). By contrast, the baseline inhibitory charge transfer in response to a bar moving at 1,100 μm/s was coincident with the excitatory charge transfer (Figures 6C and 6D). Because of the reduced latency of the aberrant inhibition at faster stimulus speed, the effect of mGluR2 blockade on IPSCs began after only 49% of the excitatory charge transfer and 59% of DSGC firing had occurred (Figure 6D). Consistent with this result, LY341495 reduced DSGC firing during a 1,100 μm/s stimulus, predominantly while IPSCs were increased (Figure 6D); by contrast, there was no statistically significant reduction in DSGC firing during a 440 μm/s stimulus (Figure 6B).

The effect of LY341495 on DSGC firing gradually increased with increasing stimulus speed between 440 μm/s and 1,760 μm/s, consistent with a mechanism based on the degree of temporal overlap of EPSCs with enhanced IPSCs (Figure 6E). The mGluR2 antagonist had no effect on DSGC firing rate in the mGluR2 KO mice (Figures S6H and S6I), further validating our pharmacological approach. Together, these data illustrate that the reduction in DSGC firing during mGluR2 blockade is speed dependent and is due to aberrantly large IPSCs. This indicates that mGluR2-dependent dendritic computation in SACs is particularly important for the generation of strong preferred-direction DSGC spiking at higher motion speed, suggesting a role for mGluR2 signaling in the broad speed tuning of On-Off DSGCs.

**DISCUSSION**

Our findings highlight the importance of regulated interaction between dendritic subdomains in dendritic computation, even for strongly isolated and functionally distinct dendritic compartments. While many current models assume that centrifugal direction selectivity of SAC dendrites is independently computed within individual dendritic sectors, our results demonstrate that direction selectivity of SAC dendrites during full-field motion arises from the delicate balance between electrotonic isolation and cross-compartmental signal integration. Signal integration
Figure 4. mGluR2 Blockade Causes an Enhanced but Delayed Preferred-Direction Inhibition onto DSGCs

(A) A model of mGluR2-dependent dendritic compartmentalization in SACs. Left: during endogenous mGluR2 signaling, SAC dendritic branches are more isolated from each other. A bar moving in the preferred direction of the DSGC (leftward) triggers minimal GABA release (red dots) from the centripetally activated sector A of the SAC. Subsequent activation of sector B in the centrifugal direction does not propagate efficiently to sector A to trigger GABA release. Right: during mGluR2 blockade, electrotonic isolation between SAC branches is reduced. Therefore, the strong centrifugal response of sector B propagates more efficiently to sector A. This leads to enhanced dendritic activation of sector A and more GABA release onto the DSGC during motion in the DSGC’s preferred direction.

(B–D) DSGC IPSCs before and after adding LY341495 at the bar speed of 440 μm/s.

(B) Example On components of IPSC traces of DSGCs in a wild-type mouse evoked by a dark bar moving in the preferred and null directions at a bar speed of 440 μm/s before (black) and after (red) adding LY341495. Dark traces represent mean values and light traces represent individual trials. Also see Figures S5B–S5D for IPSC data evoked by a bright bar.

(C) Summary of charge transfer of IPSCs in the preferred and null directions at the speed of 440 μm/s in wild-type mice. Preferred: baseline, 121.8 ± 21.6 pA·s; LY341495, 227.5 ± 36.0 pA·s; **p = 0.002. Null: baseline, 275.9 ± 48.9 pA·s; LY341495, 325.7 ± 60.8 pA·s; p = 0.23. n = 12 cells from 5 mice. Data are shown as mean ± SEM. Also see Figures S5B–S5D.

(D) As in (C), summary of DSI values for IPSCs in wild-type mice at the bar speed of 440 μm/s. Baseline, 0.37 ± 0.03; LY341495, 0.17 ± 0.04; ***p = 0.0001. Also see Figure S5D.

(E–G) DSGC IPSCs before and after adding LY341495 at the bar speed of 1,100 μm/s.

(E) As in (B), example IPSC traces of DSGCs at the bar speed of 1,100 μm/s.

(F) As in (C), summary of charge transfer of IPSCs in the preferred and null directions at the speed of 1,100 μm/s. Preferred: baseline, 118.0 ± 15.8 pA·s; LY341495, 204.6 ± 29.9 pA·s; *p = 0.01. Null: baseline, 236.9 ± 26.8 pA·s; LY341495, 274.1 ± 41.1 pA·s; p = 0.13. n = 8 cells from 5 mice.

(G) As in (D), summary of DSI values for IPSCs at the bar speed of 1,100 μm/s. Baseline, 0.33 ± 0.05; LY341495, 0.13 ± 0.04; **p = 0.008.

(H) Boxplot of the temporal delay of IPSC peak due to LY341495 application in wild-type mice at the bar speed of 440 μm/s and 1,100 μm/s. Mean ± SEM: 440 μm/s, 289 ± 39 ms; 1,100 μm/s, 147 ± 20 ms; **p = 0.005. n = 12 cells from 7 mice. Also see Figure S5A.

Also see Figure S6 for the effect of LY341495 on DSGCs from mGluR2 KO mice and alpha RGCs.
from the entire dendritic tree primes and amplifies the response to centrifugal motion, while electrotonic isolation attenuates the response to centripetal motion.

A role for multicompartmental signal integration in the centrifugal direction confirms a prior modeling study that predicted efficient summation in the centrifugal direction at the distal SAC dendrites due to temporal coincidence of local excitation with the “global” signal spreading from the rest of the dendritic tree (Tukker et al., 2004). In contrast, the local signal in the centripetally stimulated distal dendrites does not coincide with the temporally delayed global signal, leading to little summation. The global signal in the centripetally stimulated dendrites is highly suppressed by mGluR2-mediated electrotonic isolation, which prevents the subsequent strong activation of the opposite, centrifugally stimulated sector from back-propagating and contaminating the centripetal response of the original sector.

In our study, neither the centrifugal responses in SAC varicosities nor the resultant null-direction IPSCs in DSGCs were significantly affected by LY341495, illustrating that the SAC centrifugal response is robust to the decrease in multicompartmental integration caused by mGluR2 signaling at our stimulus conditions. This indicates that favored spatiotemporal integration across SAC dendrites is capable of evoking maximal GABA release from SACs at the endogenous level of mGluR2 signaling. This finding is consistent with nonlinearities in the SAC response to whole-field motion demonstrated in previous studies, which found that SAC GABA release and DSGC direction selectivity are saturated for a wide range of stimulus contrasts and speeds (Amthor and Grzywacz, 1991; Grzywacz and Amthor, 2007; Lipin et al., 2015). By contrast, we find that the SAC centripetal response is significantly modulated by mGluR2 signaling, illustrating that dendritic nonlinearities can enable modulation of dendritic responses in a direction-selective manner (Figure 7). However, we cannot rule out that mGluR2-dependent changes in dendritic integration could affect the centrifugal response during other stimulus conditions.

Optimized balance between signal isolation and cross-compartmental integration is subject to neuromodulation via mGluR2 signaling. mGluR2 activation inhibits N- and P/Q-types of voltage-gated calcium channels in SACs. These calcium channels have been shown to participate in synaptic release of acetylcholine and GABA from SACs in the rabbit (Lee et al., 2012).
However, when endogenous mGluR2 signaling is blocked, we did not detect an increase in calcium responses during local stimulation within the dendritic sector, indicating that endogenous mGluR2 signaling does not directly inhibit synaptic calcium channels and synaptic transmission under our stimulus conditions. Instead, this mechanism controls a subpopulation of calcium channels specifically involved in trans-somatic signal propagation. This specificity may be a consequence of the segregation of proximal glutamatergic input sites from distal neurotransmitter release sites on SAC dendrites (Vlasits et al., 2016). For example, proximally localized mGluR2 may gate the trans-somatic propagation of depolarization without affecting depolarization and calcium influx in distal varicosities. mGluR2-dependent inhibition of voltage-gated calcium channels likely acts in concert with other cell-intrinsic and synaptic mechanisms that are targeted to the proximal dendritic region of the SAC to...
promote electrotonic isolation between dendritic sectors. These mechanisms include proximal expression of Kv3 channels (Ozaita et al., 2004) and enriched inhibitory inputs onto the proximal dendrites from neighboring amacrine cells (Ding et al., 2016).

Pharmacological blockade of mGluR2 signaling in our study preferentially impacted SACs in the direction-selective circuit, since mGluR2 expression is mainly restricted to SACs in the rodents (Koulen et al., 1996; Seebahn et al., 2008), and the mGluR2 promoter has been used to drive GFP expression selectively in On and Off SACs in a transgenic mouse line (Watanabe et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2007). Besides SACs, rod amacrine cells, presumably A17 amacrine cells, exhibit weak immunofluorescence for mGluR2 (Koulen et al., 1996; Seebahn et al., 2008). However, lack of mGluR2 in the rod amacrine cells is unlikely to contribute to the effect we observed, since the rod pathway is saturated under the photopic visual stimulus condition in our study. The selective expression pattern of mGluR2 in rodents is in contrast to that in the cat or rabbit, which has been reported to include the horizontal and multiple types of amacrine cells (Cai and Pourcho, 1999; Jensen, 2006).

mGluR2-dependent dendritic computation in SACs is important for the DSGC spiking output that is conveyed from the retina to the brain during preferred-direction motion. This effect appears to rely on speed-dependent coincidence between excitatory and inhibitory inputs. Most GABAergic synapses onto a DSGC arise from SAC dendrites that prefer motion in the null direction of the DSGC. The somata of these SACs are mostly located on the null side of the DSGC. To elicit enhanced IPSCs onto a DSGC during preferred-direction motion in mGluR2 blockade, the stimulus must activate the more distant sectors of these SACs. Therefore, the latency of enhanced IPSCs during mGluR2 blockade depends on the speed of motion. At lower speeds, the increase of IPSC during mGluR2 blockade lags the excitation and spiking, and therefore has little effect on the firing rate of DSGCs. At higher speeds, the mGluR2-dependent change in IPSC occurs with a shorter latency and overlaps more with the temporal window of excitation and spiking. As a result, mGluR2 blockade exerts a greater impact in DSGC spiking at higher stimulus speeds. While we cannot rule out the possibility that additional mechanisms play a role in reducing DSGC spiking during high-speed motion in mGluR2 blockade, the temporal correspondence of enhanced IPSCs and spiking reduction strongly suggests a causal link.

In addition to GABA release, SACs provide cholinergic excitation to DSGCs. However, mGluR2 blockade has a less noticeable effect on the EPSCs of DSGCs. Several factors may contribute to this result. First, EPSCs of DSGCs contain an mGluR2-insensitive bipolar component. Second, the cholinergic inputs onto DSGCs come from SAC dendrites oriented in all directions, but only the centripetally activated dendrites show increased calcium transients during mGluR2 blockade. Therefore, only a subset of cholinergic inputs onto DSGCs during preferred direction motion could be affected by mGluR2 blockade, while the majority of GABAergic inputs are enhanced by mGluR2 blockade. Third, since acetylcholine release from SACs has been shown to require higher calcium concentration than GABA release (Lee et al., 2010), it is possible that backpropagation during mGluR2 blockade is less efficient in enhancing cholinergic EPSCs compared with GABAergic IPSCs onto DSGCs. Furthermore, even when an increase is detected in the decaying phase of EPSCs at the speed of 1,100 µm/s, it is insufficient to enhance spiking due to the concomitant increase of IPSC that appears to overturn the excitatory effect.

Endogenous mGluR2 signaling, which is tied to glutamate release, might serve as a safeguard to prevent excess signal propagation across dendritic sectors during strong glutamatergic excitation through ionotropic receptors. Thus, SAC dendrites represent an intriguing example where the dendritic computation algorithm dynamically adjusts to input conditions through...
activity-dependent neuromodulation. We believe that our study will initiate future studies on the optimization of dendritic computation rules by neuromodulatory systems. A deeper understanding on this topic is important for delineating and modeling input-output relationships of individual neurons and neural networks, and ultimately, to link dendritic processing to behavior.
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KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterial and Virus Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAV9.CAG.Flex.GCaMP6m.WPRE.SV40</td>
<td>Penn Vector Core</td>
<td>AAV-9-PV2817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LYS41495</td>
<td>Tocris</td>
<td>Cat#1209; CAS: 201943-63-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LYS354740</td>
<td>Tocris</td>
<td>Cat#3246; CAS: 176199-48-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-conotoxin GVIA</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat#Ab120215; CAS: 106375-28-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-agatoxin IVA</td>
<td>Alomone</td>
<td>Cat#STA-500; CAS: 145017-83-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium chloride</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat#202908; CAS: 10106-64-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihydro-β-erythroidine hydrobromide</td>
<td>Tocris</td>
<td>Cat#2349; CAS: 29734-68-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-AP5</td>
<td>Tocris</td>
<td>Cat#2345; CAS: 29734-68-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D(_{1})-AP4</td>
<td>Tocris</td>
<td>Cat#2345; CAS: 29734-68-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: wild-type (C57BL/6J)</td>
<td>The Jackson Laboratory</td>
<td>RRID: IMSR_JAX:000664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: mGluR2(_{-/-}) (B6;129S-Gm2(^{tm1Nak/NakRbrc}))</td>
<td>Gift from J. Gonzalez-Maeso (Moreno et al., 2011)</td>
<td>RRID: IMSR_RBRC01351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: Chat-IRESCre (129S6-Chat(^{tm2(cre)Lowl})/J)</td>
<td>The Jackson Laboratory</td>
<td>RRID: IMSR_JAX:006410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: floxed tdTomato (129S6-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm9(CAG-tdTomato)Hze)/J)</td>
<td>The Jackson Laboratory</td>
<td>RRID: IMSR_JAX:007905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse: Drd4-GFP (SW-Tg(Drd4-EGFP)W18Gsat/Mmnc)</td>
<td>MMRRC</td>
<td>RRID: MMRRC_000231-UNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software and Algorithms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATLAB</td>
<td>MathWorks</td>
<td><a href="http://www.mathworks.com">http://www.mathworks.com</a>; RRID: SCR_01622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCLAMP 10</td>
<td>Molecular Devices</td>
<td><a href="https://www.moleculardevices.com/systems/conventional-patch-clamp/pclamp-10-software">https://www.moleculardevices.com/systems/conventional-patch-clamp/pclamp-10-software</a>; RRID: BDSC_14352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom MATLAB scripts for visual stimulation and data analysis</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custom-made Hamilton Syringe</td>
<td>B. Borghuis</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to, and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Dr. Wei Wei (weiw@uchicago.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

**Animals**

The mGluR2/-/- mouse line (B6:129S-Gm2\(^{tm1Nak/NakRbrc}\)) (Moreno et al., 2011) was a generous gift from Dr. Javier González-Maeso at Virginia Commonwealth University. Chat-IRESCre mice (129S6-Chat\(^{tm2(cre)Lowl}\)/J) and floxed tdTomato mice (129S6-Gt\(ROSA\)26Sortm9(CAG-tdTomato)Hze\)/J) were acquired from the Jackson Laboratory. Drd4-GFP mice, in which posterior-prefering
DSGCs are selectively labeled, were originally developed by MMRRRC in the Swiss Webster background, and were subsequently backcrossed to C57BL/6 background. All strains were backcrossed to the C57BL/6 background in our laboratory, and crossed to each other to create the lines used in this study.

All experiments were performed on healthy mice of normal immune status which had not been previously used for tests or procedures. Mice were housed in 12 hr-12 hr light-dark cycles in groups of 2-5 per cage and were provided with food and water ad libitum. For electrophysiology experiments, mice of ages P21-P40 of either sex were used. All procedures to maintain and use mice were in accordance with the University of Chicago Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol number ACUP 72247) and in conformance with the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the Public Health Service Policy.

METHOD DETAILS

Intravitreal injection of AAV vector
Chat-IRESCre mice aged P14-25 were anesthetized using 4 μL/g intraperitoneal injection of 10% ketamine/5% xylazine in PBS. Animals were placed on their side and the periorbital region was locally anesthetized using one drop of 0.5% proparacaine HCl (Henry Schein, Melville, NY). An insulin syringe was first used to penetrate the cornea lateral to the lateral limbus, and a Hamilton syringe modified for one-handed micro-injection (Borghuis Instruments) was then inserted into the same incision and behind the lens, injecting 1 μL of an AAV vector carrying floxed GCaMP6m (University of Pennsylvania Vector Core) into the vitreal space. This procedure was repeated for each eye. Retinas were isolated and imaged at 2-5 weeks following injection.

Whole-mount retina preparation
Mice were dark-adapted for >30 min, anesthetized using isoflurane, and then euthanized by decapitation. Under infrared illumination, retinas were isolated from the pigment epithelium at room temperature in oxygenated Ames’ medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for visual stimulation experiments or in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) containing 119.0 mM NaCl, 26.2 mM NaHCO3, 1 mM KCl, 2.5 mM D-glucose, 2.5 mM KCl, 1.0 mM K2HPO4, 2.5 mM CaCl2, and 1.3 mM MgCl2 for dual patch clamp recording. Isolated retinas were then cut into dorsal or ventral halves and mounted ganglion-cell-layer-up on top of a 1 mm2 hole in a small piece of filter paper (Millipore, Billerica, MA). The orientation of the preferred direction (posterior) of Drd4-GFP positive neurons was noted for each piece. Retinas were kept in darkness at room temperature in Ames’ medium or aCSF bubbled with 95% O2/5% CO2 until use (0–8 hr).

Two-photon calcium imaging
GCaMP6 imaging of SAC varicosities was performed as previously described in (Chen et al., 2016). Retinas were placed in oxygenated Ames’ media at 32°C–33°C and screened using a customized two-photon laser-scanning microscope (Bruker Nano Surfaces Division) for expression of GCaMP6 in displaced SACs. GCaMP6 was excited by a Ti:sapphire laser (Coherent, Chameleon Ultra II, Santa Clara, CA) tuned to 920 nm, and the laser power was adjusted to avoid saturation of the fluorescent signal. To enable simultaneous visual stimulation and GCaMP6 fluorescence, block of light of the same wavelength. The objective was a water immersion objective (60x, Olympus LUMPlanFl/IR). Tissues in which GCaMP expression lacked nuclear exclusion were not used due to concerns about cell health (Chen et al., 2013). Only areas with sparse infection were used, often at the edge of a larger infected region, in order to allow unambiguous determination of the orientation of individual SAC dendrites. Rectangular imaging regions of length 70-180 μm and width 50-120 μm were chosen surrounding the distal tips of the SAC dendrites.

Visual stimulation
A white organic light-emitting display (OLEDXL, eMagin, Bellevue, WA; 800 × 600-pixel resolution, 60 Hz refresh rate) was controlled by an Intel Core Duo computer with a Windows 7 operating system and was presented to the retina at a resolution of 1.1 μm/pixel. Moving bar stimuli were generated by MATLAB and the Psychophysics Toolbox (Brainard, 1997).

In the plane of the retina, the OLED image was centered in the middle of the imaging window, corresponding to the tips of the imaged dendrites. In the perpendicular axis, the OLED image was focused on the photoreceptor layer. Time series of fluorescence were recorded at 30-50 Hz. After an initial 10 s period to allow the retina to adapt to the two-photon laser, moving bars were presented using the OLED. For full-field stimulation, the bars had dimensions of 220 μm × 660 μm and were presented in three trials of eight pseudorandomized directions, moving parallel to their longer dimension. Bars traversed a patch of retina that exceeded the length of the SAC receptive field by at least 150 μm in both directions.

For spatially restricted stimulation, the bars were presented in 5-10 trials of two pseudorandomized directions (centripetal and centrifugal, which were determined by dendrite orientation and verified by responses to full-field stimulation). These bars had dimensions of 132 μm × 660 μm and moved across a circular patch of retina with a diameter of 132 μm. The speed of the bar was 440 μm/s unless otherwise indicated.

Both positive- and negative-contrast moving bars were used. For positive-contrast (‘bright’) bars, the background light intensity was ~600 isomerizations (R*/rod/s) and the stimulus was ~6.5 × 104 R*/rod/s. For negative-contrast (‘dark’) bars, these values were reversed. During two-photon calcium imaging, additional source of light besides the OLED may activate photoreceptors.
including the imaging IR laser at 920 nm and the GCaMP6 fluorescence emitted from the stimulated starburst amacrine cells. We consider the contribution of GCaMP6 fluorescence negligible because GCaMP6 was expressed sparsely in isolated SACs and the GCaMP fluorescence was only activated in ~10 varicosities at the distal tips of a SAC. The imaging IR laser, which scanned the same imaging windows, increased the background luminance in the imaging window and triggered a transient calcium response in On starburst amacrine cells at the onset of imaging. Because this IR laser-evoked response adapted within 5 s, we started OLED stimulation after at least 10 s of laser scanning. Due to the scanning laser, the background illumination at the imaging window was higher than that elsewhere in the retina during OLED stimulation. We estimate that the laser causes an equivalent illumination of about $2 \times 10^4 \text{R/rod/s}$ at 497 nm according to (Euler et al., 2009). At this level of background illumination, the rod pathway has been shown to saturate and the cone pathway mediates the light response (Borghuis et al., 2013).

### Imaging analysis

Fluorescence time series were analyzed offline in Prairie View software (Bruker Corporation) using elliptical regions of interest containing individual SAC varicosities. For each imaging window, an elliptical region of interest adjacent to the varicosities but lacking GCaMP6 signal was chosen to record the background fluoresence. During a visual stimulus, a weak background impulse was detected in this region. This background signal was subtracted from fluorescence measurements of the varicosities and was also used to indicate the onset time of the stimulus. For spatially restricted visual stimulation, stimulus timing was reported by a coincident baseline, clipped, sorted by motion direction and averaged using Microsoft Excel and custom-written MATLAB scripts. The peak amplitude of the averaged responses to each stimulus was calculated as the maximum three-frame running average to baseline, clipped, sorted by motion direction and averaged using Microsoft Excel and custom-written MATLAB scripts. The time-integral of the responses was estimated as the right-sided Riemann sum of $\Delta F/F_0$ starting at stimulus onset and ending 4 s later.

For stimuli that did not consistently evoke responses (those localized outside the SAC receptive field or to distant SAC dendritic sectors), a threshold of $F_0 + 3\times SD$ was applied to the averaged response of identified varicosities within the imaging region to determine whether a response occurred, where $SD$ = standard deviation of the region of the $\Delta F$ trace in the 2 s period before the first stimulus. Response probability was calculated by dividing the number of evoked suprathreshold responses by the number of trials (5-10). To exclude rare spontaneous calcium events, only responses beginning in the 2 s following stimulus onset were considered evoked.

The retinal location of the stimulus during response onset was estimated by multiplying the latency to the response threshold by the speed of the bar. Due to the delays inherent in phototransduction, calcium influx, and GCaMP6m activation, GCaMP6m signal onset may be expected to lag behind the bar. This lag was estimated by presenting a stationary spot of radius 50 μm that was synchronized with the fluorescence time-series acquisition. The measured latency to fluorescence onset was approximately 50 ms. For a bar moving at 440 μm/s, this corresponds to a lag of 22 μm on the retina.

To assess direction selectivity of SAC distal dendrites, we used the direction selectivity index (DSI), defined as $(\Delta F_{cf} - \Delta F_{cp}) / (\Delta F_{cf} + \Delta F_{cp})$, where $\Delta F_{cf}$ is the relative fluorescence change in centrifugal motion and $\Delta F_{cp}$ is that in centripetal motion. For statistical analysis, we compared variance across varicosities on the dendrites of the same SAC versus variance across varicosities of different SACs. Since the latter was larger, we averaged DSI of all the varicosities belonging to one SAC to get a single data point, and took N in the statistical analysis to be the number of cells, which equals the number of imaging windows.

### Cell targeting for electrophysiology

Cells were visualized with infrared light (920 nm) and an IR-sensitive video camera (Watec). For experiments using visual stimulation, DSGCs were targeted with the aid of two-photon microscopy in Drd4-GFP mice. Cell identity was confirmed physiologically by extracellular recordings of responses to moving bars or anatomically using internal solution containing 25 μM Alexa 594 to show the distinctive bistratified dendritic morphology in the SAC sublamina of the inner plexiform layer. For recordings without visual stimulation, SACs and DSGCs were targeted using epifluorescence (X-Cite) in retinas from mice expressing Chat-IRES-Cre, floxed tdTomato, and Drd4-GFP. In these mice, SACs selectively express tdTomato while posterior-prefering DSGCs express GFP. Cell identity was confirmed physiologically by the presence of dual excitatory and inhibitory transmission from SACs to DSGCs.

Alpha cells were identified by their uniquely large size (diameter $\geq 20 \mu m$) and characteristic sustained IPSCs during visual stimulation (van Wyk et al., 2009).

### Electrophysiology recording and data analysis

Data were acquired using PCLAMP 10 recording software and a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA), low-pass filtered at 4 kHz and digitized at 10 kHz. Light-evoked responses were recorded at a bath temperature of 32–33°C. Paired SAC-DSGC and SAC depolarization step experiments were performed at room temperature.

For whole-cell recordings, recording electrodes of 2.5–4 MΩ were backfilled with a cesium-based internal solution containing (from Sigma): 110 mM CsMeSO4, 2.8 mM NaCl, 4 mM EGTA, 5 mM TEA-Cl, 4 mM adenosine 5′-triphosphate (magnesium salt), 0.3 mM guanosine 5′-triphosphate (trisodium salt), 20 mM HEPES, 10 mM phosphocreatine (disodium salt), 5 mM N-Ethyllidocaine chloride (QX314), pH 7.25. To preserve potassium channel activity in SACs, CsMeSO4 was replaced by 110 mM KMeSO4 and TEA-Cl was excluded. For whole-cell voltage clamp recordings of SACs, synaptic blockers (from Tocris): 0.008 mM DHβE, 0.05 mM D-AP5,
0.05 mM DNQX disodium salt, and 0.005 mM L-AP4 were applied to the bath solution to block synaptic transmission in the retina. For loose cell-attached recordings, electrodes were backfilled with Ames’ media.

Light-evoked IPSCs in DSGCs were isolated by holding cells at 0 mV. Three repetitions of raw synaptic traces were recorded and averaged to obtain the mean response for each stimulus condition and analyzed using PCLAMP 10 software. The peak amplitude and total charge transfer of IPSCs evoked by the On edge of the moving bar (the trailing edge in dark bars) were used to calculate the direction-selective index (DSI) and vector sum. DSI is defined as \( \frac{P - N}{P + N} \), where P is the peak amplitude or charge transfer of IPSCs in the preferred direction, and N is that in the null direction. Reported potentials have been adjusted for a 10 mV liquid junction potential.

Data from loose cell-attached recordings were analyzed using custom protocols in MATLAB. The number of spikes evoked by the On (trailing) edge of the bar was counted using MATLAB and averaged across trials in each direction. Spike count was quantified in place of spike DSI because the low spike count in mGluR2 blockade reduced the reliability of DSI calculations (Carandini and Ferster, 2000).

Analysis of SAC membrane currents
Outward currents in response to 20-ms depolarization steps in K-based internal were measured by averaging current between 10 and 20 ms during the step. Inward currents in response to depolarization steps in Cs-based internal were measured by first fitting the first 1-6 ms during the step with an exponential function, and then subtracting the peak inward current from the fitted curve. During SAC pharmacological experiments, series and membrane resistance were monitored continuously by including a 5 mV hyperpolarizing step in each sweep. Cells were discarded or experiments were discontinued if their series resistance increased by more than 20% during the recording or exceeded 40 MΩ.

Pharmacology
For light response recordings, 50-100 nM LY341495 (Tocris) was added to Ames’ media after baseline experiments. At these concentrations, LY341495 is selective for Group II mGluRs (Kingston et al., 1998). Notably, mGluR3 has not been found in the mammalian retina (Brandstätter et al., 1998). For paired recordings and SAC pharmacology studies, the aCSF was perfused with 500nM-1μM LY354740 (Tocris), a highly selective Group II mGluR agonist (Schoepp et al., 1997) after whole-cell recording was established, and 3 μM LY341495 was subsequently used to competitively inhibit mGluR2 activity (Kingston et al., 1998). To block voltage-gated calcium channels, 300 μM CdCl₂ (Sigma) or combinations of 1 μM ω-conotoxin GVIA (Abcam) and 250 nM ω-agatoxin IVA (Alomone) were added to the aCSF.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
The number of experimental repeats and sample means ± SEM are indicated in figure legends. In most cases, analysis of calcium imaging movies was performed blind to the mGluR2 antagonist condition. Statistical comparisons were performed using Wilcoxon signed rank test for paired samples or ANOVA followed by post hoc tests (either two-tailed paired Student’s t test or Tukey’s test, as indicated in figure legends). Repeated-measures ANOVA was used in Figures 3B and 3F. p < 0.05 was considered significant. * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001.

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY
The custom MATLAB scripts used for visual stimulation and data analysis are available upon request from the Lead Contact.